

UNBIASED ESTIMATORS OF ABILITY PARAMETERS,

OF THEIR VARIANCE, AND OF THEIR

PARALLEL-FORMS RELIABILITY

Frederic M. Lord

This research was sponsored in part by the Personnel and Training Research Programs Psychological Sciences Division Office of Naval Research, under Contract No. N00014-80-C-0402

Contract Authority Identification Number NR No. 150-453

Frederic M. Lord, Principal Investigator



Educational Testing Service Princeton, New Jersey

November 1981



Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

8112 28060

UNBIASED ESTIMATORS OF ABILITY PARAMETERS,

OF THEIR VARIANCE, AND OF THEIR

PARALLEL-FORMS RELIABILITY

Frederic M. Lord

This research was sponsored in part by the Personnel and Training Research Programs Psychological Sciences Division Office of Naval Research, under Contract No. N00014-80-C-0402 Contract Authority Identification Number NR No. 150-453 Frederic M. Lord, Principal Investigator

Educational Testing Service Princeton, New Jersey

November 1981

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Accession For

HTIS GRAEI
DTIC TAB
Unannounced
Justification

By
Distribution/
Availability Codes

Avail and/or
Special

产物。"

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENT		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
I. REPORT NUMBER		3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
	AD-A108 8	174
4. TITLE (and Subtitle)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
Unbiased Estimators of Ability Parameters, of Their Variance, and of Their Parallel-Forms		Technical Report
Reliability	. I I GI GI I I ON MO	6. PERFORMING ONG. REPORT NUMBER
		Research Report 81-50
7. AUTHOR(a)		S. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)
Frederic M. Lord		N00014-80-C-0402
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Educational Testing Service Princeton, NJ 08541	e	NR 150-453
Personnel and Training Research Programs		12. REPORT DATE November 1981
Office of Naval Research (13. NUMBER OF PAGES
		29
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office		15. SECURITY CLASS. of this report)
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
6 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Repo	ort)	
Approved for public releas	or, distribution distant	
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetr.	act entered in Black 20, if different fr	om Report)
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if n	ecessary and identify by block number	·)
Bias (statistical), Estima Reliability, Maximum Likel Standard Error, Asymptotic	lihood, Mental Test The	neory, Ability, True Score, eory, Unbiased Estimate,
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on , everse side if ne	cessary and identify by block number))
Given known item parameter examinee's ability paramet		s are derived 1) for an oportion-correct true score
ζ , 2) for s_{θ}^2 the varian	nce of θ across exam:	inees in the group tested,
also for s_{ζ}^{2} , and 3) for	the parallel-forms re	liability of the observed
test score, the maximum 1	ikelihood estimator $\hat{\theta}$	•
D FORM 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 6		194 177

DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 66 IS OBSOLETE S/N 0102- LF- 014- 6601

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Enterert)

The control of the co

Unbiased Estimators

1

Abstract

Given known item parameters, unbiased estimators are derived 1) for an examinee's ability parameter θ and for his proportion-correct true score ζ , 2) for s_{θ}^2 the variance of θ across examinees in the group tested, also for s_{ζ}^2 , and 3) for the parallel-forms reliability of the observed test score, the maximum likelihood estimator, $\hat{\theta}$.

Unbiased Estimators of Ability Parameters, of Their Variance, and of Their Parallel-Forms Reliability

This paper is primarily concerned with determining the statistical bias in the maximum likelihood estimate $\widehat{\theta}$ of the examinee ability parameter $\widehat{\theta}$ in item response theory (IRT) [Lord, 1980]; also of certain functions of such parameters. We will deal only with unidimensional tests composed of dichotomously scored items. We assume the item response function is three-parameter logistic (2).

Available results for the sampling variance of (0) are currently limited to the case where the item parameters are known; the present derivations are limited to this case also. This limitation is tolerable in situations where the item parameters are predetermined, as in item banking and tailored testing.

In the absence of a prior distribution for θ , it is well known that examinees with perfect scores have $\hat{\theta} = \infty$; also that examinees who perform near or below the chance level on multiple-choice items may be given large negative values of $\hat{\theta}$. This (correctly) suggests that $\hat{\theta}$ is positively biased for high-ability examinees and negatively biased for low-ability examinees. Will a correction of $\hat{\theta}$ for bias be helpful in such cases?

مام الم

^{*}This work was supported in part by contract NOO014-80-C-0402, project designation NR 150-453 between the Office of Naval Research and Educational Testing Service. Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

It is also 'well known' that for any ordinary group of examinees, the variance ($s_{\hat{\theta}}^2$) of $\hat{\theta}$ across examinees is larger than the variance (s_{θ}^{2}) of the true θ . The ratio $s_{\hat{\theta}}^{2}/s_{\theta}^{2}$ is closely related to the classical-test-theory reliability of 0 considered as the examinee's test score. Thus it is not enough for us to know that $s_{\hat{A}}^2 + s_{\hat{A}}^2$ as the number n of test items becomes large; we need to know how the relation of $s_{\hat{\theta}}^2$ to s_{θ}^2 varies as a function of n . We also need a better estimate of s_A^2 than its maximum-likelihood estimator $s_{\hat{\theta}}^2$. These objectives can be achieved by correcting $s_{\hat{\theta}}^2$ for bias. The methods used to derive formulas for correction for bias are presented here, in detail for at least two reasons: 1) experience with similar derivations has shown that it is easy to reach erroneous results if details are not spelled out. 2) The general methods used here are easily transferred to solve other problems, such as a) correction of item parameters for bias, b) obtaining higher-order approximations to the sampling variance of θ .

1. Statistical Bias in $\hat{\theta}$ and $\hat{\zeta}$

The method used here to find the bias of $\hat{\theta}$ is adapted from the 'adjusted order of magnitude' procedure detailed by Shenton and Bowman (1977). They assume their data to be a sample from a population divided into a denumerable number of subsets. For them, the population proportion of observations in a given subset is a known function of the parameter θ whose value they wish to estimate. Their sample estimate of is therefore a function of observed sample proportions in the

various subsets. Since our data do not readily fit this picture, we cannot use their final published formulas but must instead derive our own.

Throughout Section 1, we deal with a single fixed examinee whose ability θ is the parameter to be estimated. All item parameters are assumed known.

1.1 Preliminaries

The maximum likelihood estimate θ is obtained by solving the likelihood equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (u_{i} - \hat{P}_{i}) \hat{P}_{i}^{\dagger} / \hat{P}_{i} \hat{Q}_{i} = 0$$
 (1)

where $u_i = 0$ or list he examinee's response to item i (i = 1,2,..., n), $P_i \ge P_i(\theta)$ is the response function for item i, $Q_i = 1 - P_i$, P_i' is the derivative of P_i with respect to θ , and a caret indicates that the function is to be evaluated at $\hat{\theta}$. We deal with the case where P_i is the three-parameter logistic function

$$P_{1} = c_{1} + \frac{1 - c_{1}}{-A_{1}(\theta - b_{1})}$$
 (2)

where A_{i} , b_{i} , and c_{i} are item parameters describing item i.

We will assume

- 1. θ is a bounded variable,
- 2. the item parameters a_i and b_i are bounded,
- 3. c_i is bounded away from 1,

(thus P_1 and Q_2 are bounded away from 0 and 1);

4. as n becomes large, the statistical characteristics of the test stabilize.

Rather than trying to define this last assumption formally, the reader may substitute the more restrictive assumption usually made in mental test theory: that a test is lengthened by adding strictly parallel forms.

With these assumptions, the conditions of Bradley and Gart (1962) are satisfied. It follows from their theorems that $\hat{\theta}$ is a consistent estimator of θ and that \sqrt{n} $(\hat{\theta}-\theta)$ is asymptotically normally distributed with mean zero and variance $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i}^{n}P_{i}^{2}/P_{i}Q_{i}$. The existence of this limit is guaranteed by assumpt on 4.

For compactness, we will rewrite (1) as

$$\hat{L}_{1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{\Gamma}_{li} = 0 \quad , \tag{3}$$

where by definition

$$\Gamma_{1i} \equiv (u_i - P_i)P_i^*/P_iQ_i$$
 (4)

Now \hat{L}_1 considered as a function of $\hat{\theta}$ can be expanded formally in powers of $\hat{\theta} - \theta$, as follows:

$$\hat{L}_{1} \equiv \sum_{i} \Gamma_{1i} + (\hat{\theta} - \theta) \sum_{i} \Gamma_{2i} + \frac{1}{2} (\hat{\theta} - \theta)^{2} \sum_{i} \Gamma_{3i} + \dots$$

where we define

$$\Gamma_{si} \equiv \frac{d^{s}}{d\theta^{s}} \log P_{i}^{u_{i}} Q_{i}^{1-u_{i}}$$
 (s = 1,2,...) . (5)

This definition is consistent with (3).

Let $x \equiv \hat{\theta} - \theta$, $\Gamma_s \equiv \sum_i \Gamma_{si}$. Rather than proving the convergence of the power series, let us use a closed form that is always valid:

$$\hat{L}_{1} \equiv \Gamma_{1} + x\Gamma_{2} + \frac{1}{2}x^{2}\Gamma_{3} + \frac{1}{6}x^{3}\Gamma_{4} + \frac{\delta}{24}x^{4}\overline{\Gamma}_{5}$$
 (6)

where $\overline{\Gamma}_5 \equiv \max_{\theta} |\delta| < 1$.

1.2 Derivatives and Expectations

To proceed further, it is necessary to evaluate the $\Gamma_{\mbox{\bf ki}}$. It is found that

$$\Gamma_{ki} = (-A_i)^{k-1} \frac{P_i'}{Q_i} \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} s! \mathcal{S}_{k-1}^s \left(\frac{-Q_i}{1-c_i} \right)^s \left(-1 + \frac{u_i c_i^s}{P_i^{s+1}} \right)$$
 (7)

where G_{k-1}^{s} is a Stirling number of the second kind (Jordan, 1947, pp. 31-32, 168).

Define

$$\gamma_{si} \equiv \delta \Gamma_{si}$$
 , (8)

$$\varepsilon_{si} \equiv \Gamma_{si} - \delta \Gamma_{si} \quad . \tag{9}$$

Since $\delta u_i = P_i$, we find that

$$Y_{1i} = 0 , \qquad (10)$$

$$\gamma_{2i} = -\frac{P_i^2}{P_i Q_i} \quad , \tag{11}$$

$$\gamma_{3i} = \frac{A_{i}^{2}}{(1-c_{i})^{2}} \frac{P_{i}^{\prime}}{P_{i}^{2}} (P_{i}-c_{i})[2(P_{i}^{2}-c_{i})-P_{i}(1-c_{i})] , \quad (12)$$

$$\varepsilon_{1i} = \Gamma_{1i} = (u_i - P_i)P_i^*/P_iQ_i \quad , \tag{13}$$

$$\varepsilon_{2i} = \frac{A_{i}c_{i}}{(1-c_{i})} \frac{P_{i}^{i}(u_{i}-P_{i})}{P_{i}^{2}} . \qquad (14)$$

Let

$$\gamma_s = \sum_{i} \gamma_{si}/n$$
 , $\epsilon_s = \sum_{i} \epsilon_{si}/n$. (15)

We will denote the Fisher information by

$$I = -\delta(dL_1/d\theta) = -n\gamma_2 = \sum_{i} P_i^{i}/P_i Q_i$$
 (16)

Setting (6) equal to zero, the likelihood equation can now be written in terms of the γ_g and the ϵ_g as

$$-\epsilon_{1} = x(\gamma_{2} + \epsilon_{2}) + \frac{1}{2} x^{2}(\gamma_{3} + \epsilon_{3}) + \frac{1}{6} x^{3}(\gamma_{4} + \epsilon_{4}) + \frac{\delta}{24} x^{4} \Gamma_{5} . \qquad (17)$$

We will need some information about the order of magnitude of the terms such as those in (17). It may be seen from (7) that each $\epsilon_{\rm S}$ has the form

$$\varepsilon_s = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i K_{si} (u_i - P_i)$$

where K_{si} does not depend on n or on u_i . Since P_i , Q_i and $1-c_i$ are bounded, the K_{si} and thus ε_s is bounded. By assumption (4), the bound does not depend on n. The same conclusion holds for γ_s .

Since \sqrt{n} x is asymptotically normally distributed with zero mean and finite variance, it follows that δx^r ($r=1,2,\ldots$) is of order $n^{-r/2}$. A similar statement is true of $\sqrt{n}\,\varepsilon_s$. Thus finally $\delta x^r\varepsilon_s^t \leq (\delta x^{2r}\delta_s^{2t})^{1/2}$ so that $\delta x^r\varepsilon_s^t$ is of order $n^{-(r+t)/2}$ ($r,t=1,2,\ldots$).

1.3 First-Order Variance of θ

To clarify the procedure, let us derive from (17) the familiar formula for the asymptotic variance of $\hat{\theta}$. Square (17) and take expectations to obtain

$$\delta \epsilon_1^2 = \gamma_2^2 \delta x^2 + 2 \gamma_2 \delta x^2 \epsilon_2 + \delta x^2 \epsilon_2^2 + \gamma_2 \gamma_3 \delta x^3 + \gamma_2 \delta \epsilon_3 x^3 + \dots$$
 (18)

If we wish to neglect terms $o(n^{-1})$ (of higher order than n^{-1}). equation (18) becomes

$$\delta x^2 = \frac{1}{\gamma_2^2} \delta \epsilon_1^2 + o(n^{-1}) \qquad . \tag{19}$$

By (13) and (16), because of local independence,

$$\begin{split} & \delta \varepsilon_{1}^{2} = \delta \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i} \frac{P_{i}^{i}}{P_{i}Q_{i}} (u_{i} - P_{i}) \sum_{j} \frac{P_{j}^{i}}{P_{j}Q_{j}} (u_{j} - P_{j}) \\ & = \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \frac{P_{i}^{i}P_{j}^{i}}{P_{i}Q_{i}P_{j}Q_{j}} \delta (u_{i} - P_{i}) (u_{i} - P_{j}) \\ & = \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i} \frac{P_{i}^{i}^{2}}{P_{i}Q_{i}^{2}} Var u_{i} \\ & = \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i} \frac{P_{i}^{i}}{P_{i}Q_{i}} \\ & = \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i} \frac{P_{i}^{i}}{P_{i}Q_{i}} \end{split}$$

Thus, finally

$$\operatorname{Var} \hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{I} + o(n^{-1})$$
 , (21)

a well-known result. It is derived here to clarify the reasoning to be used subsequently. If $\hat{\theta}$ is substituted for θ on the right side of (21), the formula will still be correct to the specified order of approximation.

1.4 Statistical Bias of θ

Take the expectation of (17) to obtain

$$-\mathcal{E}_{1}^{\varepsilon} = \gamma_{2} \mathcal{E}_{1}^{x} + \mathcal{E}_{1}^{x} \mathcal{E}_{2}^{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{3} \mathcal{E}_{1}^{x}^{2} , \qquad (22)$$

where δ_1 indicates an expectation in which only terms of order n^{-1} are to be retained. Also multiply (17) by ϵ_2 and take expectations to obtain

$$-\delta_{1}\epsilon_{1}\epsilon_{2} = \gamma_{2}\delta_{1}\kappa\epsilon_{2} \qquad . \tag{23}$$

By (9)

$$\delta \varepsilon_r = 0 \qquad r = 1, 2, \dots$$
 (24)

From (13) and (14)

$$\delta \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} = \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i} \frac{A_{i} c_{i}}{1 - c_{i}} \frac{P_{i}^{2}}{P_{i}^{3} Q_{i}} \delta (u_{i} - P_{i})^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i} \frac{A_{i} c_{i}}{(1 - c_{i})} \frac{P_{i}^{2}}{P_{i}^{2}} \qquad (25)$$

Substituting (16) and (25) into (23), we have the covariance

$$\delta_{1} \times \epsilon_{2} = \frac{1}{n I} \sum_{i} \frac{A_{1} c_{i}}{(1 - c_{i})} \frac{P_{i}^{2}}{P_{i}^{2}}$$
 (26)

Finally, substituting (16), (21), (24), and (26) into (22) and solving for $s_1 x_1$, we have the bias

$$B_{1}(\hat{\theta}) \equiv B_{1}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) = \frac{1}{1^{2}} \left(\sum_{i} \frac{A_{i}c_{i}}{1 - c_{i}} \frac{P_{i}^{2}}{P_{i}^{2}} + \frac{1}{2} n\gamma_{3} \right) . \tag{27}$$

This may be rewritten as

$$B_{1}(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{1}{I^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i} I_{i}(A_{i} - \frac{1}{2})$$
 (28)

where

$$\phi_{i} = \frac{P_{i} - c_{i}}{1 - c_{i}} \text{ and } I_{i} = \frac{P_{i}^{12}}{P_{i}Q_{i}}$$
 (29)

Since I is of order n, $B_1(\hat{\theta})$ is of order n^{-1} . It may be of interest to note that in the special case where all items are equivalent (all P_i are the same), the bias simplifies to $B_1(\hat{\theta}) = P/nP^{\dagger}$.

1.5 Numerical Results

A hypothetical test was designed to approximate the College Entrance Examination Board's Scholastic Aptitude Test, Verbal Section. This test is composed of n = 90 five-choice items. Some information about the distributions of the parameters of the 90 hypothetical items is given in Table 1.

The standard error and bias of θ were computed from (21) and from (27) respectively for various values of θ . The results are shown in Table 2. It appears that the bias in $\hat{\theta}$ is negligible for moderate values of θ , but is sizable for extreme values. Note that the bias is positively correlated with θ . Because of guessing, zero bias does not occur at $\theta = 0$ but at $\theta = .34$ approximately.

1.6 Variance and Bias of Estimated True Score

Since the ability scale is not unique, any monotonic transformation of θ can serve as a measure of ability. Two transformations are particularly useful: e^{θ} and

$$\zeta \equiv \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{i}(\theta) , \qquad (30)$$

the proportion-correct true score (the number-right true score divided

TABLE 1

Range and Quartiles of the Item Parameters
in 90-Item Hypothetical Test

	a _i =A _i /1.7	<u>b</u> 1	c _i
Highest value	1.89	2.32	.47
Q_1	1.07	1.15	.20
Median	.83	.38	.15
Q ₃	. 69	41	.13
Lowest value	.41	-3.94	.01

by the number of items). One important reason for using the latter transformation is the following.

Ordinarily, as in Table 2, we find large standard errors of $\hat{\theta}$ where θ is extreme. Usually these large standard errors are no more harmful to the user than are the smaller standard errors found when θ is near the level aimed at by the test. There is a reason why this is so: If it were not, the user should have designed his test so as to reduce those standard errors that were troublesome to him.

We see that from this point of view the size of a difference on the θ scale does not correspond to its importance. The discrepancy is greatly reduced, however, if we measure ability on the ζ scale instead of on the θ scale. This is one reason, among several, why we are interested in the variance and bias of

$$\hat{\zeta} \equiv \Sigma_{\mathbf{i}} P_{\mathbf{i}}(\hat{\theta}) / n \qquad . \tag{31}$$

Although the proportion-correct true score

$$z = \sum_{i} u_{i}/n \tag{32}$$

is an unbiased estimator of ζ , z is never a fully efficient estimator of ζ unless $c_i = 0$ and $a_i = a_j$ (i,j = 1,2,...,n): the sampling variance

$$\operatorname{Var} z = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i Q_i$$
 (33)

TABLE 2 $\label{eq:table_eq}$ Standard Error and Statistical Bias in $\hat{\theta}$

		
ê	√Var ê	B(ê)
3.5	.60	.24
3.0	.43	.12
2.5	.31	.06
2.0	. 23	.032
1.5	.19	.011
1.0	.19	.0032
0.5	.20	.0012
0	.22	0028
-0.5	. 25	010
-1.0	.31	025
-1.5	.41	05
-2.0	. 54	09
-2.5	.70	14
-3.0	.89	22
-3.5	1.09	31

is not as small as the sampling variance of $\hat{\zeta}$, which we must now derive.

By (31)

$$d\hat{\zeta} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{P}_{i}^{i} d\hat{\theta} \qquad (34)$$

Using the 'delta' method

$$\operatorname{Var} \hat{\zeta} = \frac{1}{n^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i^i \right)^2 \operatorname{Var} \hat{\theta}$$
.

By (21) and (16)

$$\operatorname{Var} \hat{\zeta} = \frac{\left(\sum_{i} P_{i}^{i}\right)^{2}}{n^{2} \sum_{i} \frac{P_{i}^{i}^{2}}{P_{i}Q_{i}}}.$$
 (35)

To find the bias of $\hat{\zeta}$, we expand it in powers of $x \equiv \theta - \hat{\theta}$:

$$\hat{\zeta} - \zeta = \frac{x}{n} \Sigma P_i^i + \frac{x^2}{2n} \Sigma P_i^n + \dots$$
 (36)

where

$$P_{i}^{"} \equiv d^{2}P_{i}/d\theta^{2} .$$

Taking expectations, and neglecting higher-order terms, we have for the bias

$$B_{1}(\hat{\zeta}) \equiv \delta(\hat{\zeta} - \zeta) = \frac{1}{n} \left[B(\hat{\theta}) \Sigma P_{1}^{i} + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Var} \hat{\theta} (\Sigma P_{1}^{i}) \right] . \tag{37}$$

This can be rewritten as

$$B_{1}(\hat{\zeta}) = \frac{\zeta'}{1^{2}} \left(\sum \frac{A_{1} c_{1} P_{1}^{2}}{(1 - c_{1}) P_{1}^{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \gamma_{3i} \right) + \frac{\zeta''}{2I}$$
 (38)

where $\zeta' \equiv \Sigma_i P_i'/n$ and $\zeta'' \equiv \Sigma_i P_i''/n$. Let us note is passing that when all items are equivalent (all $P_i(\theta)$ are the same), $\hat{\zeta} = z$ and its bias (38) is zero.

1.7 Numerical Results

Table 3 shows the bias in ζ for the same hypothetical test considered in Section 1.5. The biases are all positive. However, they are negligible at all except the lowest ability levels. This tends to confirm our choice of the ζ scale of ability rather than the 6 scale for many purposes.

				
ê	ŝ	√ Var z	√ Var ζ	<u>Β(ζ)</u>
3.5	.981	.014	.014	. 00045
3.0	.966	.019	.018	.00052
2.5	.937	.024	.023	.00064
2.0	.891	.031	.029	.00059
1.5	.812	.037	.035	.00021
1.0	.715	.042	.040	.00026
0.5	. 608	.045	.042	.00061
0	.506	.046	.043	.00061
-0.5	.416	.047	.042	.00062
-1.0	.344	.046	.038	.00061
-1.5	.291	.045	.037	.00085
-2.0	. 254	.044	.033	.0014
-2.5	.227	.042	.029	.0020
-3.0	.211	.042	.025	.0024
-3.5	.199	.041	.021	.0026

A STORE

As a matter of incidental interest, for selected values of true score. Table 3 compares the standard error (35) of the maximum-likelihood estimator $\hat{\zeta}$ with the standard error (33) of the unbiased estimator z (proportion-correct score). There is little difference in accuracy between the two estimators for $\zeta \geq .5$. At low true-score levels, the maximum-likelihood estimator is much better than the proportion of correct answers.

2. Unbiased Estimation of s_{θ}^2 , of s_{θ}^2 ; Test Reliability

The symbols s_{θ}^2 and s_{ζ}^2 are used for the sample variance of and of ζ across the N examinees in the sample:

$$s_{\theta}^{2} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{a=1}^{N} e_{a}^{2} - \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{a=1}^{N} e_{a}\right)^{2} . \tag{39}$$

The maximum-likelihood estimators of s_{θ}^2 and s_{ζ}^2 are $s_{\hat{\theta}}^2$ and $s_{\hat{\zeta}}^2$, the sample variances across examinees of $\hat{\theta}$ and of $\hat{\zeta}$.

2.1 Asymptotically Unbiased Matimator of σ^2

Assume that our examinees are a random sample of N from some population. Denote by σ_{θ}^2 the population variance of θ . Then $\mathrm{Ns}_{\theta}^2/(\mathrm{N-1})$ is an unbiased estimator of σ_{θ}^2 . Since \mathbf{s}_{θ}^2 is unobservable, our first task is to find a function of $\hat{\theta}$ that is an asymptotically unbiased estimator of σ_{θ}^2 .

By the formula for the variance of a sum we have

$$\sigma_{\theta}^{2} \equiv \sigma_{\theta+x}^{2} \equiv \sigma_{\theta}^{2} + \sigma_{x}^{2} + 2\sigma_{\theta x} \qquad (40)$$

where σ^2 denotes a variance across all examinees in the population and $\sigma_{\theta x}$ is the corresponding population covariance. By a well-known identity from the analysis of variance

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{x}}^2 = \delta_{\theta} \sigma_{\mathbf{x}|\theta}^2 + \sigma_{\delta(\mathbf{x}|\theta)}^2 \tag{41}$$

where δ_{θ} denotes an expectation across all examinees in the population. Similarly,

$$\sigma_{\theta \mathbf{x}} \equiv \sigma_{\theta,\delta}(\mathbf{x}|\theta) \qquad (42)$$

Substituting (41) and (42) into (40), transposing, writing $B_1 \equiv \delta_1(x|\theta)$ as in (28), and dropping the subscript from B_1 for convenience, we have

$$\sigma_{\theta}^{2} \equiv \sigma_{\hat{\theta}}^{2} - 2\sigma_{\theta B} - \delta_{\theta}\sigma_{\mathbf{x}|\theta}^{2} - \sigma_{B}^{2} . \tag{43}$$

Since by (28) B is of order n^{-1} , its variance is of order n^{-2} , so σ_B^2 can be neglected in (43). Since Section 1 deals with a single fixed examinee, the symbol $\sigma_{\mathbf{x}\mid\theta}^2$ in (43) has the same meaning as Var $\hat{\theta}$ in (21):

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{x}\mid\theta}^2 = \frac{1}{\mathbf{I}(\theta)} + o(\mathbf{n}^{-1})$$

where I \equiv I(θ) is given by (16). Since $\sigma_{\mathbf{x}|\theta}^2$ is of order n^{-1} , the effect of replacing θ by $\hat{\theta}$ on the right is neglibible:

$$\delta_{\theta}^{2} \sigma_{\mathbf{x}|\theta}^{2} = \delta_{\theta} \frac{1}{I(\hat{\theta})} + o(n^{-1})$$
.

By similar reasoning, we may replace $\sigma_{\theta\,B}$ in (43) by $\sigma_{\theta\,B}$ where \hat{B} is defined by (27) with θ replaced by $\hat{\theta}$. The result of these approximations is that

$$\sigma_{\theta}^{2} = \sigma_{\hat{\theta}}^{2} - 2\sigma_{\hat{\theta}\hat{B}} - \delta_{\theta} \frac{1}{T(\hat{\theta})} + o(n^{-1}) . \tag{44}$$

A useful estimator of σ_{θ}^2 can be calculated from

$$\hat{\sigma}_{\theta}^{2} = \frac{N}{N-1} s_{\hat{\theta}}^{2} - \frac{2N}{N-1} s_{\hat{\theta}}^{2} - \frac{1}{N} s_{\hat{\theta}}^{2} - \frac{1}{N} \frac{N}{a=1} \frac{1}{I(\hat{\theta}_{a})} , \qquad (45)$$

where

$$\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\hat{\mathbf{B}}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{a}=1}^{N} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\mathbf{a}} \hat{\mathbf{B}}_{\mathbf{a}} - (\frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{a}=1}^{N} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\mathbf{a}}) (\frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{a}=1}^{N} \hat{\mathbf{B}}_{\mathbf{a}})$$

and \hat{B}_a is given by (27) with θ replaced by $\hat{\theta}_a$. If we wish to estimate the sample variance of ability s_θ^2 rather than the population variance σ_θ^2 , we can use

$$\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{\theta}^{2} \equiv \mathbf{s}_{\hat{\theta}}^{2} - 2\mathbf{s}_{\hat{\theta}\hat{\mathbf{B}}}^{2} - \frac{N-1}{N^{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{a=1}}^{N} \frac{1}{I(\hat{\theta}_{\mathbf{a}})} . \tag{46}$$

The second and third terms of (44) are of order n^{-1} , an order of magnitude smaller than the first term but larger than the neglected terms. The covariance of $\hat{\theta}$ and \hat{B} is usually positive, as can be readily seen from Table 2. Since $I(\hat{\theta})$ is necessarily positive, it appears that usually $\sigma_{\hat{\theta}}^2 < \sigma_{\hat{\theta}}^2$, an inequality that is frequently assumed without proof. It is not clear whether this inequality is necessarily true.

2.2 The Reliability of θ

Consider the parallel-forms reliability coefficient $\rho_{\hat{\theta}\hat{\theta}}$, the correlation between scores $\hat{\theta}$ and $\hat{\theta}$ ' on two parallel tests. For present purposes, two tests are parallel when for each item in one test there is an item in the other test with the same item response function. Let us estimate

$$\rho \hat{\theta} \hat{\theta} = \frac{\sigma \hat{\theta} \hat{\theta}}{\sigma \hat{\theta}} = \frac{\sigma \hat{\theta} \hat{\theta}}{\sigma \hat{\theta}} = \frac{\sigma \hat{\theta} \hat{\theta}}{\sigma \hat{\theta}}$$
(47)

from a single test administration by substituting asymptotically unbiased estimators of the numerator and of the denominator into (47).

As in (41),

$$\sigma_{\hat{\theta}\hat{\theta}} = s_{\theta} \sigma_{\hat{\theta}\hat{\theta}} \cdot |_{\theta} + \sigma_{s}(\hat{\theta}|_{\theta}), s(\hat{\theta}'|_{\theta}) \qquad (48)$$

Because of local independence, the first term on the right vanishes.

Because of parallelism, the two expectations in the last term are identical, so this term is a variance. We thus have

$$\sigma_{\theta\theta}^{\hat{}}, = \sigma_{\delta(\hat{\theta}|\theta)}^2 \equiv \sigma_{B\theta}^2 \equiv \sigma_{B}^2 + \sigma_{\theta}^2 + 2\sigma_{\thetaB}^2 . \tag{49}$$

From (49) and (43),

$$\sigma_{\hat{\theta}\hat{\theta}}, = \sigma_{\hat{\theta}}^2 - \delta_{\theta} \sigma_{\mathbf{x}|\theta}^2 . \tag{50}$$

We see that the parallel-forms reliability of $\hat{\theta}$ is

$$\rho_{\hat{\theta}\hat{\theta}} = 1 - \frac{1}{\sigma_{\hat{\theta}}^2} \delta_{\theta} \frac{1}{I(\hat{\theta})} + o(n^{-1}) \qquad (51)$$

Priority in obtaining this result belongs to Sympson [Note 1]. Replacing population values on the right by the corresponding sample statistics, we have a sample estimator of the parallel-forms reliability coefficient of $\hat{\theta}$:

$$\hat{\rho}_{\hat{\theta}\hat{\theta}}, \equiv 1 - \frac{N-1}{N^2 s_{\hat{\theta}}^2} \sum_{a=1}^{N} \frac{1}{I(\hat{\theta}_a)} . \qquad (52)$$

Since $\hat{\theta}$ is neither unbiased nor uncorrelated with θ , we should not expect the usual reliability formulas of classical test theory to apply. A similar but not identical case is discussed in

Lord and Novick (1968, Section 9.8). Thus $\rho_{\hat{\theta}\hat{\theta}}$, $\rho_{\hat{\theta}\hat{\theta}}^2$, and $\sigma_{\hat{\theta}}^2/\sigma_{\hat{\theta}}^2$ are not interchangeable definitions of reliability. Since correlational measures are hard to interpret in the absence of linearity and homoscedasticity, we will not now push this investigation of reliability further.

2.3 Corresponding Results for True Score

By the same reasoning used to obtain (44) we have

$$\sigma_{\zeta}^{2} \equiv \sigma_{\hat{\zeta}}^{2} - 2\sigma_{\zeta,B(\hat{\zeta})} - \delta_{\theta}\sigma_{\hat{\zeta}|\zeta}^{2} - \sigma_{B(\hat{\zeta})}^{2}$$

$$= \sigma_{\hat{\zeta}}^{2} - 2\sigma_{\hat{\zeta},\hat{B}(\hat{\zeta})} - \delta_{\theta} \frac{\hat{\zeta}^{2}}{I(\hat{\theta})} + o(n^{-1}) \qquad (53)$$

A useful estimator of σ_{ζ}^2 can be calculated from

$$\hat{\sigma}_{\zeta}^{2} = \frac{N}{N-1} s_{\hat{\zeta}}^{2} - \frac{2N}{N-1} s_{\hat{\zeta}, \hat{B}(\hat{\zeta})} - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{a=1}^{N} \frac{\hat{\zeta}_{a}^{*2}}{T(\hat{\theta}_{a})} . \tag{54}$$

To estimate s^2_{ζ} , we can use

$$\hat{s}_{\zeta}^{2} = s_{\hat{\zeta}}^{2} - 2s_{\hat{\zeta}, \hat{B}(\hat{\zeta})} - \frac{N-1}{N^{2}} \sum_{a=1}^{N} \frac{\hat{\zeta}_{a}^{2}}{I(\hat{\theta}_{a})} .$$
 (55)

As in (50) - (52) we have

$$\sigma_{\hat{\zeta}\hat{\zeta}} = \sigma_{\hat{\zeta}}^2 - \delta_{\theta} \sigma_{\hat{\zeta}|\zeta}^2 \qquad (56)$$

$$\rho_{\hat{\zeta}\hat{\zeta}}, = 1 - \frac{1}{\sigma_{\hat{\zeta}}^2} \mathcal{E}_{\theta} \frac{\hat{\zeta}^{2}}{I(\hat{\theta})} + o(n^{-1}) \qquad , \tag{57}$$

$$\hat{\rho}_{\hat{\zeta}\hat{\zeta}}, \equiv 1 - \frac{N-1}{N^2 s_{\hat{\zeta}}^2} \sum_{a=1}^{N} \frac{\hat{\zeta}^{,2}}{I(\hat{\theta}_a)} . \qquad (58)$$

2.4 Numerical Results for True Scores

At moderate ability levels, (28) provides adequate but usually neglible corrections for bias in $\hat{\theta}$. Experience shows that at very low ability levels, the usual test length (n) of 50 or 100 items is not long enough for the asymptotic results of (28) to apply. For example, an examinee whose true θ is -3 may easily obtain an estimated ability $\hat{\theta}$ of -30 or of $-\infty$. For sufficiently long tests, such extreme values of $\hat{\theta}$ would have negligible probability, but with the usual values of n , equation (28) is totally inadequate for correcting $\hat{\theta}$ for bias at low ability levels.

This sam difficulty carries over to the unbiased estimation of σ_{θ}^2 using (46). Since all ability levels are involved in (46), the formula is useless in practice for any group that contains even a few low-ability examinees. Fortunately, this difficulty does not

carry over to the estimation of ability on the true-score (ζ) scale.

The hypothetical SAT Verbal Test of Tables 1-3 was administered to a typical group of 2995 hypothetical examinees. The bias in $\hat{\zeta}$ was estimated for each examinee and a corrected $\hat{\zeta}$ obtained from (51):

corrected
$$\hat{\zeta} \equiv \hat{\zeta} - B_1(\hat{\zeta})$$
.

In a few cases where the corrected $\hat{\zeta}$ would have been below the chance level $\Sigma_{\mathbf{i}}^{n}c_{\mathbf{i}}$, the corrected $\hat{\zeta}$ was set equal to $\Sigma_{\mathbf{i}}^{n}c_{\mathbf{i}}$.

The mean of the 2995 true ζ used to generate the data was .5280, the mean of the uncorrected $\hat{\zeta}$ was .5294, the mean of the corrected $\hat{\zeta}$ was .5288. Thus the correction was in the right direction, but not large enough. The uncorrected mean $\hat{\zeta}$ was already so accurate as to leave little room for improvement.

Next, (55) was used to estimate s_{ζ} . The true value was $s_{\zeta} = .1610$, the standard deviation of $\hat{\zeta}$ was $s_{\hat{\zeta}} = .1660$, the corrected estimate from (55) was $s_{\zeta} = .1614$. The correction worked very well here.

The parallel-forms reliability of $\hat{\zeta}$ was estimated from (58) to be $\hat{\rho}_{\zeta\zeta'}=.9420$. We have no 'true' value against which this can be compared, but the estimate seems a reasonable one. The Kuder-Richardson formula-20 reliability of number-right scores for these data is .9275.

It should be remembered that both the formulas and the numerical results in this report apply in situations where the item parameters are known. These formulas may be satisfactory for situations where the item parameters have been estimated from large groups not containing the examinees whose ability estimates are to be corrected for bias. These formulas will not be adequate for situations where the item parameters and ability parameters are estimated simultaneously from a single data set.

Reference Note

1. Sympson, J. B. Estimating the reliability of adaptive tests from a single test administration. Paper presented at the meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Boston, April 1980.

References

- Bradley, R. A. & Gart, J. J. The asymptotic properties of ML estimators when sampling from associated populations. <u>Biometrika</u>, 1962, <u>49</u>, 205-214.
- Jordan, C. Calculus of finite differences (2nd ed.). New York: Chelsea, 1947.
- Lord, F. M. Applications of item response theory to practical testing problems. Hillsdale, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 1980.
- Lord, F. M. & Novick, M. R. Statistical theories of mental test scores. Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley, 1968.
- Shenton, L. R. & Bowman, K. O. Maximum likelihood estimation in small samples. Monograph No. 38. New York: Macmillan, 1977.

- 1 Technical Director Navy Personnel R & D San Diego, CA 92152
- 6 Commanding Officer Naval Research Laboratory Code 2627 Washington, DC 20390
- 1 Psychologist
 ONR Branch Office
 Building 114, Section D
 666 Summer Street
 Boston, MA 02210
- Office of Naval Research Code 437 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217
- 5 Personnel and Training Research Programs Code 458 Office of Naval Research Arlington, VA 22217
- 1 Psychologist ONR Branch Office 1030 East Green Street Pasadena, CA 91101
- Office of the Chief of Naval Operations Research Development and Studies Branch OP-115 Washington, DC 20350
- 1 LT Frank C. Petho, MSC, USN (Ph.D.) Selection and Training Research Division Human Performance Sciences Department Naval Aerospace Medical Research Lab. Pensacola, FL 32508

- 1 Dr. Bernard Rimland (03B) Navy Personnel R & D Center San Diego, CA 92152
- 1 Mr. Amold Rubenstein Office of Naval Technology 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217
- Dr. Worth Scanland, Director
 Research, Development, Test
 and Evaluation
 N-5
 Naval Education and Training Command
 NAS
 Pensacola, FL 32508
- Dr. Robert G. Smith
 Office of Chief of Naval Operations
 OP-987H
 Washington, DC 20350
- Dr. Alfred F. Smode Training Analysis and Evaluation Group Department of the Navy Orlando, FL 32813
- Dr. Richard Sorensen Navy Personnel R & D Center Sen Diego, CA 92152
- Mr. J. B. Sympson Naval Personnel R & D Center San Diego, CA 92152
- Dr. Ronald Weitzman
 Code 54 WZ
 Department of Administrative Services
 U.S. Naval Postgraduate School
 Monterey, CA 93940
- Dr. Robert Wherry 562 Mallard Drive Chalfont, PA 18914

DISTRIBUTION LIST

Navy

- 1 Dr. &d Aiken
 Navy Personnel R & D Center
 San Diego, CA 92152
- 1 Dr. Jack R. Borsting Provost and Academic Dean U.S. Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93940
- 1 Dr. Robert Breaux Code N-711 NAVTRAEQUIPCEN Orlando, FL 32813
- 1 Chief of Naval Education and Training Liason Office Air Force Human Resource Laboratory Flying Training Division Williams Air Force Base, AZ 85224
- 1 CDR Mike Curran Office of Naval Research 800 North Quincy Street Code 270 Arlington, VA 22217
- Dr. Richard Elster

 Deportment of Administrative Sciences

 Naval Postgraduate School

 Monterey: CA 93940
- 1 Dr. Pat Federico Navy Personnel R & D Center San Diego, CA 92152
- 1 Mr. Paul Foley Navy Personnel R & D Center San Diego, CA 92152
- 1 Dr. John Ford Navy Personnel R & D Center San Diego, CA 92152

- 1 Dr. Patrick R. Harrison
 Psychology Course Director
 Leadership and Law Department (7b)
 Division of Professional Development
 U.S. Naval Academy
 Annapolis, MD 21402
- Dr. Norman J. Karr Chief of Naval Technical Training Naval Air Station Hemphis (75) Millington, TN 38054
- Dr. William L. Haloy Principal Civilian Advisor for Education and Training Naval Training Command, Code OGA Pensacola, FL 32508
- Dr. Kneale Marshall
 Scientific Advisor to DCNO(MPT)
 OpUlT
 Washington, DC 20370 .
- 1 Dr. James McBride Navy Personnel R & D Center San Diego, CA 92152
- Dr. William Montague Navy Personnel R & D Center San Diego, CA 92152
- 1 Mr. William Nordbrock Instructional Program Development Building 90 NET-PDCD Great Lakes NTC, IL 60088
- 1 Library, Code P201L Navy Personnel R & D Center San Diego, CA 92152

- 1 Dr. Robert Wisher
 Code 309
 Navy Personnel R & D Center
 San Diego, CA 92152
- Dr. Martin F. Wiskoff Navy Personnel R & D Center San Diego, CA 92152
- Mr. Ted M. I. Yellen Technical Information Office Code 201 Navy Personnel R & D Center San Diego, CA 92152

Army

- 1 Technical Director U.S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333
- Dr. Myron Fischl U.S. Army Research Institute for the Social and Behavioral Sciences 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333
- Dr. Dexter Fletcher U.S. Army Research Institute 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333
- 1 COL Frank Hart
 Army Research Institute for the
 Behavioral & Social Sciences
 5001 Eisenhower Blvd.
 Alexandria, VA 22333
- 1 Dr. Hichsel Kaplan U.S. Army Research Institute 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333

- 1 Dr. Hilton S. Katz Training Technical Area U.S. Army Research Institute 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333
- Dr. Harold F. O'Neil, Jr. Attn: PERI-OK Army Research Institute 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333
- 1 Dr. Robert Sasmor U.S. Army Research Institute for the Social and Behavioral Sciences 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333
- 1 Commandant U.S. Army Institute of Administration Attn: Dr. Sherrill Ft. Benjamin Harrison, IN 46256
- 1 Dr. Frederick Steinheiser Department of the Navy Chief of Naval Operations OP-113 Washington, DC 20350
- Dr. Joseph Ward U.S. Army Research Institute 5001 Eiseuhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333

Air Force

- 1 Air Force Human Resources Laboratory AFHRL/MPD Brooks Air Force Base, TX 78235
- 1 U.S. Air Force Office of Scientific Research Life Sciences Directorate Bolling Air Force Base Washington, DC 20332

- 1 Air University Library
 AUL/LSE 76/443
 Maxwell Air Force Base, AL 36112
- 1 Dr. Earl A. Alluisi
 HQ, AFHRL (AFSC)
 Brooks Air Force Base, TX 78235
- 1 Mr. Raymond E. Christal AFHRL/MO Brooks Air Force Base, TX 78235
- 1 Dr. Genevieve Haddad
 Program Manager
 Life Sciences Directorate
 AFOSR
 Bolling Air Force Base
 Washington, DC 20332
- 1 Dr. Ross L. Morgan
 AFi!RL/LR
 Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433
- Research and Measurement Division Research Branch, AFMPC/MPCYPR Randolph Air Force Base, TX 78148
- 1 Dr. Malcolm Ree AFHRL/MP Brooks Air Force Base, TX 78235
- 1 Dr. Marty Rockway
 Technical Director
 AFHRL(OT)
 Williams Air Force Base, AZ 58224

The state of the s

Marines

1 Dr. ?. William Greenup Education Advisor (E031) Education Center, MCDEC Quantico, VA 22134

- Director, Office of Manpower
 Utilization
 HQ, Marine Corps (MPU)
 BCB, Building 2009
 Quantico, VA 22134
- 1 MAJ Michael L. Patrow, USMC Headquarters, Marine Corps Code MPI-20 Washington, DC 20380
- Dr. A. L. Slafkosky Scientific Advisor Code RD-1 HQ, U.S. Marine Corps Washington, DC 20380

Coast Guard

1 Mr. Thomas A. Warm
 U.S. Coast Guard Institute
P.O. Substation 18
 O.lahoma City, OK 73169

Other DoD

- 1 DARPA 1400 Wilson Roulevard Arlington, VA 22209
- 12 Defense Technical Information Center Cameron Station, Building 5 Attn: TC Alexandria, VA 22314
- 1 Dr. William Graham Testing Directorate MEPCOM/MEPCT-P Ft. Sheridan, IL 60037

- 1 Military Assistant for Training and Personnel Technology Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering Room 3D129, The Pentagon Washington, DC 20301
- 1 Dr. Wayne Sellman Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense (MRAL) 28209 The Pentagon Washington, DC 20301

Civil Government

- 1 Dr. Susan Chipman Learning and Development National Institute of Education 1200 19th Street, NW Washington, DC 20208
- 1 Mr. Richard McKillip Personnel R & D Center Office of Personnel Management 1900 E Street, NW Washington, UC 20415
- Dr. Arthur Melmed National Institute of Education 1200 19th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20208
- 1 Dr. Andrew R. Molnar Science Education Development and Research National Science Foundation Washington, DC 20550
- 1 Dr. Vern W. Urry Personnel R & D Center Office of Personnel Management 1900 E Street, NW Washington, DC 20415

Dr. Joseph L. Young, Director Memory and Cognitive Processes National Science Foundation Washington, DC 20550

Non-Government

- 1 Dr. Erling B. Andersen Department of Statistics Studiestraede 6 1455 Copenhagen DENMARK
- l Paychological Research Unit Department of Defense (Army Office) Campbell Park Offices Canberra, ACT 2600 AUSTRALIA
- 1 Dr. Alan Baddeley Medical reserch Council Applied Psychology Urit 15 Chaucer Road Cambridge CB2 2EF ENGLAND
- 1 Or. Isaac Bejar Educational Testing Service Princeton, NJ 06541
- 1 Dr. Menucha Birenbaum School of Education Tel Aviv University Tel Aviv, Ramat Aviv 69978 ISMAEL
- 1 Dr. Werner Birke DesWPs im Streitkraeftsamt Postfach 20 50 3 D-5300 Bonn 2 WEST GERMANY

- Dr. R. Darrell Bock Department of Education University of Chicago Chicago, IL 60037
- Liaison Scientists Office of Naval Research Branch Office, London Box 39 FPO, NY 09510
- Dr. Robert Brennan American College Testing Programs P.U. Box 168 Iowa City, IA 52240
- Dr. John B. Carrol)
 Psychometric Laboratory
 University of North Carolina
 Davie Hall 013A
 Chapel Hill, NC 27514
- l Charles Myers Library Livingstone House Livingstone Moad Stratforu London El5 2LJ ENGLAND
- 1 Dr. Kenneth E. Clark College of Arts and Sciences University of Rochester River Compus Station Rochester, NY 14627
- l Dr. Norman Cliff
 Department of Psychology
 University of Southern California
 University Park
 Los Angeles, CA 90007

- 1 Dr. William E. Coffman Director, Iowa Testing Programs 334 Lindquist Center University of Iowa Iowa City, IA 52242
- 1 Dr. Allan M. Collins Bolt, Beranek, & Newman, Inc. 50 Moulton Street Cambridge, MA 02138
- Dr. Heredith P. Crawford American Psychological Association 1200 17th Street, N Washington, DC 20036
- 1 Dr. Hans Crombag Education Research Center University of Leyden Boerhaavelaan 2 2334 EN Leyden THE NETHERLANDS
- Dr. Fritz Drasgow
 Yale School of Organization and
 Management
 Yale University
 Box 1A
 New Haven, CT U6520
- 1 LCOL J. C. Eggenberger
 Directorate of Personnel
 Applied Research
 National Defence Hq.
 101 Colonel By Drive
 Ottawa, KIA UK2
 CANADA
- Dr. Benjamin A. Fairbank, Jr. McFann-Gray and Associates, Irc. 5825 Callaghan Suite 225
 San Antonio, TX 78226

- 1 Dr. Leonard Feldt Lindquist Center for Measurement University of Iowa Iowa City, IA 52242
- Dr. Richard L. Ferguson The American College Testing Program P.O. Box 168 Iowa City, IA 52240
- 1 Dr. Victor Fields
 Department of Psychology
 Montgomery College
 Rockville, MD 20050
- l Univ. Prof. Dr. Gerhard Fischer Psychologisches Institut der Universitat Wien Liebiggasse 5/3 A 1010 Wien AUSTRIA
- i Prof. Donald Fitzgerald University of New England Armidale, New South Wales 2351 AUSTRALIA
- 1 Dr. Edwin A. Fleishman Advanced Research Resources Organization Suite 900 4330 East West Highway Washington, DC 20014
- 1 Dr. John R. Frederiksen Bolt, Beranek, and Newman 50 Moulton Street Cambridge, MA 92138
- 1 Dr. Robert Glaser LRDC University of Pittsburgh 3939 O'Hara Street Pittsburgh, PA 15213

- Dr. Bert Green Department of Psychology Johns Hopkins University Charles and 34th Streets Beltimore, MD 21218
- Dr. Ron Mambleton
 School of Education
 University of Massachusetts
 Amherst, MA 01002
- 1 Dr. Lloyd Humphreys
 Department of Psychology
 University of Illinois
 Champaign, IL 61820
- 1 Library HumRRU/Western Division 27857 Berwick Drive Carmel, CA 93921
- Dr. Steven Hunka Department of Education University of Alberta Edmonton, Alberta CANADA
- Dr. Earl Hunt Department of Psychology University of Washington Seattle, MA 98105
- 1 Dr. Jack Hunter 2122 Coolidge Street Lansing, MI 48906
- l Dr. Huynh Huynh College of Education University of South Carolina Columbia, SC 29208
- 1 Mr. Marlin Kroger 1117 Via Goleta Palos Verdes Estates, CA 90274

- 1 Dr. Hichael Levine
 Department of Educational Psychology
 210 Education Building
 University of Illinois
 Champaign, IL 61801
- l Dr. Charles Lewis
 Faculteit Sociale Wetenschappen
 Rijksuniversiteit Groningen
 Oude Boteringestraat 23
 9712GC Groningen
 NETHERLANDS
- l Dr. Robert Linn College of Education University of Illinois Urbana, LL 61801
- l Dr. James Lumsden
 Department of Psychology
 University of Western Australia
 Nedlands, Western Australia 6009
 AUSTRALIA
- l Dr. Gary Marco Educational Testing Service Princeton, NJ 08541
- 1 Dr. Scott Maxwell Department of Psychology University of Houston Houston, TX 77004
- 1 Dr. Samuel T. Mayo Loyola University of Chicago 820 North Michigan Avenue Chicago, IL 60611
- Dr. Allen Munro Behavioral Technology Laboratories 1845 Elena Avenue Fourth Floor Redondo Beach, CA 90277

- 1 Dr. Melvin R. Novick 356 Lindquist Center for Measurement University of Iowa Iowa City, IA 52242
- 1 Dr. Jesse Orlansky Institute for Defense Analyses 400 Army Navy Drive Arlington, VA 22202
- 1 Dr. Wayne M. Patience American Council on Education GED Testing Service, Suite 20 One Dupont Circle, NW Washington, DC 20036
- 1 Dr. James A. Paulson Portland State University P.O. Box 751 Portland, OR 972U7
- 1 Mr. Luigi Petrullo 2431 North Edgewood Street Arlington, VA 22207
- 1 Dr. Diane M. Ramsey-Klee R-K Research and System Design 3947 Ridgemont Drive Malibu, CA 90265
- 1 Mr. Minrat M. L. Rauch
 P II 4
 Bundesministerium der Verteidigung
 Postfach 1328
 D-53 Bonn 1
 GRRMANY
- Dr. Mark D. Reckase
 Sducational Psychology Department
 University of Missouri-Columbia
 4 Hill Hall
 Columbia, MO 65211

- Dr. Leonard L. Rosenbaum, Chairman Department of Psychology Montgomery College Rockville, MD 20850
- 1 Dr. Ernst Z. Rothkopf Bell Laboratories 600 Mountain Avenue Murray Hill, NJ 07974
- Dr. Lawrence Rudner 403 Elm Avenue Takoma Park, MD 20012
- l Dr. J. Ryan
 Department of Education
 University of South Carolina
 Columbia, SC 29208
- Prof. Fumiko Jamejima Department of Paychology University of Tennessee Knoxville, TN 37916
- Dr. Frank L. Schmidt Ddepartment of Psychology Building GG George Washington University Washington, DC 20052
- 1 Dr. Robert J. Seidel
 Instructional Technology Group
 HumRRO
 300 North Washington Street
 Alexandria, VA 22314
- 1 Committee on Cognitive Research c/o Dr. Lonnie R. Sherrod Social Science Research Council 605 Third Avenue New York, NY 10016

- l Dr. Kasuo Shigemasu University of Tohoku Department of Educational Psychology Kawauchi, Sendai 980 JAPAN
- Dr. Edwin Shirkey Department of Psychology University of Central Florida Orlando, FL 32816
- l Dr. Richard Snow School of Education Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305
- 1 Dr. Robert Sternberg Department of Laychology Yale University Box 11A, Yale Station New Haven, CT 06520
- 1 Dr. Albert Stevens Bolt, Beranek, and Newman, Inc. 50 Moulton Street Cambridge, MA 02138
- 1 Dr. Hariharan Swaminathan Laboratory of Psychometric and Evaluation Research School of Education University of Massacuusetts Amherst, MA 01003
- 1 Dr. Kikumi Tatsuoka Computer Based Education Research Laboratory 252 Engineering Research Laboratory University of Illinois Urbana, IL 61801
- l Dr. David Thissen
 Department of Psychology
 University of Kansas
 Lawrence, KS 66044

- l Dr. Robert Tsutakawa Department of Statistics University of Missouri Columbia, MO 65201
- Dr. David Vale
 Assessment Systems
 Corporation
 2395 University Avenue
 Suite 306
 St. Paul, MN 55114
- l Dr. Howard Wainer Educational Testing Service Princeton, NJ 08541
- l Dr. Thomas Wallsten Psychometric Laboratory Davie Hall 013A University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, NC 27514
- 1 Dr. Phyllis Weaver Graduate School of Education Harvard University 200 Larsen Hall, Appian Way Cambridge, MA 02138
- l Dr. David J. Weiss NobU Elliott Hall University of Minnesota 75 East River Road Minneapolis, MN 55455
- Dr. Susan E. Whitely Psychology Department University of Kansas Lawrence, KS 66044
- l Dr. Wolfgang Wildgrube Streitkraefteamt Box 20 50 03 D-5300 Bonn 2 WEST GERMANY